

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	VO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,296	09/887,296 06/21/2001		Hsien-Jue (Steve) Chu	AM100221	6853
25291	7590	02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
WYETH	_		DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N		
	CLAW GRO RALDA FA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, NJ 07		1645		
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				r
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	P
Advisory Action		09/887,296	CHU ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645	
The MAILII	NG DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-
Therefore, further ac final rejection under condition for allowan	24 December 2003 FAILS TO PL tion by the applicant is required to 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appn compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of the (1) a timely filed amendr	nis application. A proper reply to nent which places the application	a in
	PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for	reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
event, however ONLY CHECK 706.07(f).	eply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A will the statutory period for reply expire later THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA	than SIX MONTHS from the ma AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	iling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP	EP
have been filed is the date 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculate (b) above, if checked. Any	y be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The for purposes of determining the period of exted from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter reply received by the Office later than three nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding an ned statutory period for reply origi	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as s	fee under set forth in
	opeal was filed on <u>24 December 200</u> (a), or any extension thereof (37 C			:h in
2. The proposed	amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise	new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise	the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
	ot deemed to place the applicatio appeal; and/or	n in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or simpli	fying the
(d) l they pres	ent additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: S	See Continuation Sheet			
3. Applicant's rep	oly has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly propose canceling the	ed or amended claim(s) wou non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed ame	endment
	avit, b) exhibit, or c) request condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT pla	ice the
	r exhibit will NOT be considered b Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were new	wly
	of Appeal, the proposed amendment from the new or amended claims			ın
The status of t	he claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/ S:		
Claim(s) allov	ved: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) obje				
•	eted: <u>1-10 and 27-30</u> .			
	drawn from consideration: 11-26.			
	orrection filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
_	ned Information Disclosure Staten		·	
10.		()(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation 'nonhuman' now added to claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 9 was not previously presented. This amendment changes the scope of the claims, and raises new issues under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph, and thus requires further consideration and/or new search. With the amendment now made to claim 1, the limitation 'an animal' in part (c) of the claim renders the claim indefinite and confusing, thus requiring a new rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. A 'nonhuman' animal and 'an animal' are not of the same scope.

S. DEVI, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER